

Dwr Cymru Meeting Notes

Wye Salmon Association Comments from Monmouth

Morgan Jones - 19/08/21


Please note the graphs used in this document are purely experimental and use my data thus far



Site Overview

1. Monmouth STW Redbrook Road

- Map Reference:
51.801327, -2.702709
- WSA Monitoring with main focus on Phosphate (mg/l) above and below STW
- Additional parameters monitored including Ecoli, Nitrate, pH, TDS, Temperature, Water Level, Agricultural Activity, Water Colouration and Weather Conditions



In 2020 this sewer storm overflow spilled 86 times for a total of 1163 hours.

Permit No: AN0353501

% of reporting period EDM operational: 100 %

a: sewage discharges - final/treated effluent - water company


Discharging into River Wye.

Permit No: AS1003201

Ecoli Results Summary


- Both Water above and below contained ecoli
- above was regarded as high risk and probably unsafe using the **Aquagenx kit** and WHO guidelines
- Below was regarded as **4x the recommended level as per bathing water standards** despite allowing for 50% error factor in results and **serious risk of illness** as stated by the rivers trust
- Monmouth STW is a trickling filter works state the rivers trust hydraulic stress
- Results >100 MPN/100ml
- **94351 cfu/100ml at 95% confidence limit**
- Suggest professional lab testing for higher accuracy over large ranges

Sample ID	MPN/100ml	MPN/100ml (95% CL)	MPN/100ml (95% UC)	Category
01	0	0	1	Not Detectable
02	0	0	1	Not Detectable
03	0	0	1	Not Detectable
04	0	0	1	Not Detectable
05	0	0	1	Not Detectable
06	0	0	1	Not Detectable
07	0	0	1	Not Detectable
08	0	0	1	Not Detectable
09	0	0	1	Not Detectable
10	0	0	1	Not Detectable
11	0	0	1	Not Detectable
12	0	0	1	Not Detectable
13	0	0	1	Not Detectable
14	0	0	1	Not Detectable
15	0	0	1	Not Detectable
16	0	0	1	Not Detectable
17	0	0	1	Not Detectable
18	0	0	1	Not Detectable
19	0	0	1	Not Detectable
20	0	0	1	Not Detectable
21	0	0	1	Not Detectable
22	0	0	1	Not Detectable
23	0	0	1	Not Detectable
24	0	0	1	Not Detectable
25	0	0	1	Not Detectable
26	0	0	1	Not Detectable
27	0	0	1	Not Detectable
28	0	0	1	Not Detectable
29	0	0	1	Not Detectable
30	0	0	1	Not Detectable
31	0	0	1	Not Detectable
32	0	0	1	Not Detectable
33	0	0	1	Not Detectable
34	0	0	1	Not Detectable
35	0	0	1	Not Detectable
36	0	0	1	Not Detectable
37	0	0	1	Not Detectable
38	0	0	1	Not Detectable
39	0	0	1	Not Detectable
40	0	0	1	Not Detectable
41	0	0	1	Not Detectable
42	0	0	1	Not Detectable
43	0	0	1	Not Detectable
44	0	0	1	Not Detectable
45	0	0	1	Not Detectable
46	0	0	1	Not Detectable
47	0	0	1	Not Detectable
48	0	0	1	Not Detectable
49	0	0	1	Not Detectable
50	0	0	1	Not Detectable
51	0	0	1	Not Detectable
52	0	0	1	Not Detectable
53	0	0	1	Not Detectable
54	0	0	1	Not Detectable
55	0	0	1	Not Detectable
56	0	0	1	Not Detectable
57	0	0	1	Not Detectable
58	0	0	1	Not Detectable
59	0	0	1	Not Detectable
60	0	0	1	Not Detectable
61	0	0	1	Not Detectable
62	0	0	1	Not Detectable
63	0	0	1	Not Detectable
64	0	0	1	Not Detectable
65	0	0	1	Not Detectable
66	0	0	1	Not Detectable
67	0	0	1	Not Detectable
68	0	0	1	Not Detectable
69	0	0	1	Not Detectable
70	0	0	1	Not Detectable
71	0	0	1	Not Detectable
72	0	0	1	Not Detectable
73	0	0	1	Not Detectable
74	0	0	1	Not Detectable
75	0	0	1	Not Detectable
76	0	0	1	Not Detectable
77	0	0	1	Not Detectable
78	0	0	1	Not Detectable
79	0	0	1	Not Detectable
80	0	0	1	Not Detectable
81	0	0	1	Not Detectable
82	0	0	1	Not Detectable
83	0	0	1	Not Detectable
84	0	0	1	Not Detectable
85	0	0	1	Not Detectable
86	0	0	1	Not Detectable
87	0	0	1	Not Detectable
88	0	0	1	Not Detectable
89	0	0	1	Not Detectable
90	0	0	1	Not Detectable
91	0	0	1	Not Detectable
92	0	0	1	Not Detectable
93	0	0	1	Not Detectable
94	0	0	1	Not Detectable
95	0	0	1	Not Detectable
96	0	0	1	Not Detectable
97	0	0	1	Not Detectable
98	0	0	1	Not Detectable
99	0	0	1	Not Detectable
100	0	0	1	Not Detectable



Phosphate Conclusions

- Phosphate was recorded above the STW with an average result of 0.04 mg/l
- Phosphate was recorded below the STW at the appropriate mixing rate (3x width of river channel) downstream from the outflow pipe with an **average reading of 0.37 mg/l**
- Most recent reading (yesterday) 0.53 mg/l
- The **difference** between average upstream and downstream values was **0.33** (across 17+ recordings)
- Phosphate remains persistently high (> 0.15 mg/l) even several hundred yards below the STW
- The impact of the river Trothy was discussed following discussion with Dwr Cymru. However, as directly opposite the confluence (as shown on the map) the mixing of P across the river (with the general flow direction of the water) would not be possible and result in no impact in this location. Additionally, the Trothy continues to be monitored by WSA volunteers, but (despite also showing high phosphate) can not justify/be the primary contributor for the extremely high readings being produced below the STW.
- Average readings **were 2.47 times that of the standard set by the WFD** to achieve good ecological status for this section of the river based on underlying geology and topographic factors




Additional Notes

- There is some evidence to suggest that **TDS and PO4 are directly proportional** and there is positive relationship on a linear regression trendline (graph 1)
- $R^2 = 21\%$ variability in data / $p = 0.059$ therefore trendline is *probably significant* and **did not occur by chance**
- Increases in TDS have been linked to increases in phosphate concentrations

- Temporally Phosphate above the works is mostly okay displaying natural changes over time
- **Below the works is extremely erratic in p** displaying unnatural behavior of phosphate and potentially an indicator of frequent discharges from the works
- Comparisons can be seen in graph 2

- Finally there is some evidence to suggest **phosphate is higher in the evenings** indicating that spills from the works may be occurring later on in the day (this was confirmed to be normal by DCWW)
- There is **no evidence to suggest local agricultural** factors are impacting phosphate levels measured at this location

1 Comparing TDS and Phosphate



Ordinary Least Squares Regression: Phosphate_PO4_mgl_-ECTDS_mgl_or_ppm

Slope a :	68.624	Std. error a :	33.692
Intercept b :	182.59	Std. error b :	11.073

95% bootstrapped confidence intervals ($N = 1999$):


Slope a :	(-11.051, 133.62)
Intercept b :	(161.38, 201.43)

Correlation:

r :	0.46545
r^2 :	0.21665
t :	2.0368
p (uncorr.):	0.059723
Permutation p :	0.0576

2 Phosphate above and below the works over time

Temporal Behaviour in Phosphate at Wyesham STW



3 Phosphate level with regards to time of sample

